

**GRENDON UNDERWOOD PARISH COUNCIL** 

All correspondence to the Parish Clerk on clerk.gupc@outlook.com Tel: 0787 368 3043 Web Site: <u>https://www.gupc.org.uk/</u>

EXT	RA-ORDINARY MEETING	MUGA Supplier Selection Meeting
Helo	d on: 1 <sup>st</sup> July 2021 at: 19:00hrs	Location: GU VH
	Attending: GU Parish Council Cllrs A. B	enfield, P. Jackman, L. Fealey, C. Scanlon, Clerk
	Apologies Cllr Moloney	
01	Purpose of Meeting to select a preferre	d supplier for realisation of a Multi Use Games Asset (MUGA)
-	at the VH complex.	
	· ·	
02	Scope of Meeting to consider responses	s to an invitation to tender from suppliers received by the closing
	date of 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021	
03		solved to pool S106 money accruing from several developments
	in the village to provide a community ass	et for the health & wellbeing of residents.
04	Executive Summary	
		is subject to Regulations 109-114 of the Public Contracts
		s, para18, Financial Controls & Procurement. Therefore, an
		JK Contract Finder web portal on 7 <sup>th</sup> June 2021, with a closing
date	of 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021.	
<b>–</b> –		
		ceived, of which 4 followed up with proposals and quotes. All
were	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021	l. · · · · ·
vere All q	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were	considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure
were All q obje	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap	I. considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.
were All q obje	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap	considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure
were All q obje	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap	I. considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.
were All q obje The	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by CIIr Ber	I. considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.
were All q obje The	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap	I. considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.
were All q obje The <b>05</b>	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by CIIr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u>	I. considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection. nfield, seconded by CIIr Fealey and voted unanimous.
were All q obje The <b>05</b> Cllr 、	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by CIIr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti	I. considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection. nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous. on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was
were All q objec The <b>05</b> Cllr s follo	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to	I. considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection. nfield, seconded by CIIr Fealey and voted unanimous.
were All q objec The <b>05</b> Cllr Cont	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement.	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> </ul>
were All q objec The <b>05</b> Cllr Cont Cllr	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie	I. considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection. nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous. on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was
were All q obje The <b>05</b> Cllr Cont Cllr auth	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds.	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had</li> </ul>
were All q objec The <b>05</b> Cllr Cont Cllr Cllr Cllr Cllr Cllr Cllr Cllr	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. re was some concern over the lead times b	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>infield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had</li> <li>weing quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September]</li> </ul>
were All q objec The <b>05</b> Cllr Cont Cllr Cur Cllr Clr Cur follov Cont Clr Che Che Che Che Che Che Che Che Che Che	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. re was some concern over the lead times b award. It was hoped some improvement c	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>infield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had being quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September] could be agreed.</li> </ul>
were All q obje The <b>05</b> Cllr Cllr Cllr Cllr Cllr Cllr Cllr Cllr	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. re was some concern over the lead times b award. It was hoped some improvement c noval of spoil was considered a major facto	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had</li> <li>weing quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September]</li> <li>would be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering</li> </ul>
were All q obje The <b>05</b> Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> C	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by Cllr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. re was some concern over the lead times b award. It was hoped some improvement c noval of spoil was considered a major factor r but would be graded & seeded in its quote	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had</li> <li>weing quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September]</li> <li>ould be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> </ul>
were All q obje The <b>05</b> Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Che The The The The The The Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> C	A responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber Matters Arising. Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. re was some concern over the lead times b award. It was hoped some improvement c noval of spoil was considered a major factoo r but would be graded & seeded in its quote provision of a weatherproof footpath acces	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had being quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September] could be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>as was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the</li> </ul>
were All q obje The <b>05</b> Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Che The The The The The The Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> Cont Clr <b>0</b> Clr <b>0</b> C	e responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by Cllr Ber <u>Matters Arising.</u> Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. re was some concern over the lead times b award. It was hoped some improvement c noval of spoil was considered a major factor r but would be graded & seeded in its quote	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had being quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September] could be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>as was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the</li> </ul>
were All q objection The <b>05</b> Cllr Cont Cont Cont Cllr Cont Cllr Cher Cher Cher Cher Cher Cher Cher Cher	A responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber Matters Arising. Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. re was some concern over the lead times b award. It was hoped some improvement c noval of spoil was considered a major factoo r but would be graded & seeded in its quote provision of a weatherproof footpath acces	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had being quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September] could be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>as was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the</li> </ul>
were All q obje obje The <b>05</b> Cllr & Cllr & Cont Cllr & Cont Cllr & Cont Cllr & Cllr & Cont Cllr & Cont Cllr & Cont Clr & Cont Clr & Cont Clr & Cont Clr & Cont Clr & Clr & Cont Clr & Clr & Cont Clr & Cont Clr & Cont Clr & Cont Clr & Cont Clr & Clr & Clr & Clr & Clr & Clr & Clr &	A responded to no later than 21 <sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber Matters Arising. Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols & Procurement. Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. re was some concern over the lead times b award. It was hoped some improvement c noval of spoil was considered a major factoo r but would be graded & seeded in its quote provision of a weatherproof footpath acces	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had being quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September] could be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>as was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the</li> </ul>
were All q objection The <b>05</b> Cllr & follow Cont Cllr & follow Cllr & follow	Actions arising.	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>infield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had</li> <li>weing quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September]</li> <li>ould be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>as was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the d agreed to query.</li> </ul>
were All q obje The 05 Cllr & follow Cont Cllr & follow Cllr &	<ul> <li>responded to no later than 21<sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by Cllr Ber</li> <li>Matters Arising.</li> <li>Jackman had developed a standard selective wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols &amp; Procurement.</li> <li>Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specified orised release of already approved funds. The was some concern over the lead times be award. It was hoped some improvement conval of spoil was considered a major factor r but would be graded &amp; seeded in its quote provision of a weatherproof footpath access hage specification of a supplier. Cllr Benfiel</li> <li>Actions arising.</li> <li>Cllr Jackman to notify County of decision</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had</li> <li>weing quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September]</li> <li>would be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>ss was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the</li> </ul>
were All q objection The <b>05</b> Cllr & follow Conf Cllr & follow Conf Conf Conf Conf Conf Conf Conf Conf	<ul> <li>Presponded to no later than 21<sup>st</sup> June 2021</li> <li>uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by Cllr Ber</li> <li>Matters Arising.</li> <li>Jackman had developed a standard selective wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols &amp; Procurement.</li> <li>Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specified orised release of already approved funds. The was some concern over the lead times be award. It was hoped some improvement conval of spoil was considered a major factor rowal of spoil was considered a major factor rowal of a weatherproof footpath access hage specification of a supplier. Cllr Benfiel</li> <li>Actions arising.</li> <li>Cllr Jackman to notify County of decision invoices.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was o be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had being quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September] ould be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>is was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the d agreed to query.</li> </ul>
were All q objection The <b>05</b> Cllr & follow Conf Cllr & follow Conf Conf Conf Conf Conf Conf Conf Conf	<ul> <li>e responded to no later than 21<sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by Cllr Ber</li> <li>Matters Arising.</li> <li>Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols &amp; Procurement.</li> <li>Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. Te was some concern over the lead times be award. It was hoped some improvement c noval of spoil was considered a major factor r but would be graded &amp; seeded in its quote provision of a weatherproof footpath access hage specification of a supplier. Cllr Benfiel</li> <li>Actions arising.</li> <li>Cllr Jackman to notify County of decision invoices.</li> <li>Cllr Benfield to explore any possibility of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was o be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had being quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September] ould be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>as was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the d agreed to query.</li> <li>a &amp; to query any flexibility in release of S106 funding in line with an improvement in pricing, an improvement in lead time to start</li> </ul>
were All q obje The <b>05</b> Cllr C folloo Cont Cllr C Cont Cllr C C Cont Cllr C C Cllr C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	<ul> <li>e responded to no later than 21<sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by Cllr Ber</li> <li>Matters Arising.</li> <li>Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols &amp; Procurement.</li> <li>Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. Te was some concern over the lead times be award. It was hoped some improvement c noval of spoil was considered a major factor r but would be graded &amp; seeded in its quote provision of a weatherproof footpath access hage specification of a supplier. Cllr Benfiel</li> <li>Actions arising.</li> <li>Cllr Jackman to notify County of decision invoices.</li> <li>Cllr Benfield to explore any possibility of &amp; to clarify drainage arrangements with t</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was o be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had</li> <li>weing quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September]</li> <li>ould be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>Is was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the d agreed to query.</li> <li>a &amp; to query any flexibility in release of S106 funding in line with an improvement in pricing, an improvement in lead time to start he selected contractor.</li> </ul>
vere All q objection The <b>05</b> Cllr Cont Cllr C	<ul> <li>e responded to no later than 21<sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by ClIr Ber</li> <li>Matters Arising.</li> <li>Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols &amp; Procurement.</li> <li>Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. Te was some concern over the lead times be award. It was hoped some improvement coval of spoil was considered a major factor r but would be graded &amp; seeded in its quote provision of a weatherproof footpath access nage specification of a supplier. ClIr Benfiel</li> <li>Actions arising.</li> <li>ClIr Jackman to notify County of decision invoices.</li> <li>ClIr Benfield to explore any possibility of &amp; to clarify drainage arrangements with t ClIr Benfield to notify Project Manager of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was o be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had</li> <li>weing quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September]</li> <li>ould be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering</li> <li>es was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the</li> <li>d agreed to query.</li> </ul>
vere All q obje obje The <b>05</b> Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cllr <b>0</b> Cllr <b>0</b> Cont Cllr <b>0</b> Cllr <b>0</b> Cl	<ul> <li>e responded to no later than 21<sup>st</sup> June 2021 uotes and supporting documentation were ctivity, and this resulted in a unanimous ap selected supplier was proposed by Cllr Ber</li> <li>Matters Arising.</li> <li>Jackman had developed a standard selecti wed without prejudice. It was agreed this to trols &amp; Procurement.</li> <li>Jackman confirmed that S106 rules specifie orised release of already approved funds. Te was some concern over the lead times be award. It was hoped some improvement c noval of spoil was considered a major factor r but would be graded &amp; seeded in its quote provision of a weatherproof footpath access hage specification of a supplier. Cllr Benfiel</li> <li>Actions arising.</li> <li>Cllr Jackman to notify County of decision invoices.</li> <li>Cllr Benfield to explore any possibility of &amp; to clarify drainage arrangements with t</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>considered against a set of standard selection criteria, to ensure proval of the final selection.</li> <li>nfield, seconded by Cllr Fealey and voted unanimous.</li> <li>on criteria process for consistency and objectivity and this was o be incorporated into Standing Orders Para 18, Financial</li> <li>ed the selected supplier could not be notified until County had</li> <li>weing quoted – all currently estimating start 8 weeks [September]</li> <li>ould be agreed.</li> <li>r – one supplier advising it would be removed in its covering e.</li> <li>Is was considered a major factor - Cllr Fealey querying the d agreed to query.</li> <li>a &amp; to query any flexibility in release of S106 funding in line with an improvement in pricing, an improvement in lead time to start he selected contractor.</li> </ul>

Signed as a true & accurate record, Cllr Benfield, Chairman presiding.

.....